Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,300 *	CALE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kelly Mahafkey</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Kaba.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 April 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant confirmed that no response has been sent to the April 21st office action.		
Part III.	,	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		·
, , ,	, , , , , , , ,	
down the the		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)